SIMET

FIC/D-2/61 \* January 1961

| MENORATION : | ron:     | Chief, Nº3/Logistics |                        |            |
|--------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------|------------|
| ATTURECTS    | *        |                      | 25)                    | <b>X</b> 1 |
| *            | <u>*</u> |                      | et for 1900/tontelline |            |

During our discussion on 5 December 1960, it was agreed that PIC would sketch a general planning paper on which to bees our fature requirements for logistics support in building photographic exploitation equipment.

## 1. CONTRAL:

PIC develops such of its equipment for explaination of serial photography based on the input parameters outlined by EPD. Roughly the following are the rules of thusb by which to gauge the complexity and cost of this equipment:

- a. Resolution. This is the primary consideration in planning for the engineering meden to produce exploitation equipment. PIC needs leases which are three times the quality of the input produced by the IPD system; i.e., if IPD delivers a product to PIC which contains IPD 1/cm, the exploitation gear produced by PIC must have leases capable of 300 1/cm.
- b. Ground Newspresent. The second parameter deals with the resived ground seasurement and the density of targets covered. A collection system which produces 20' 30' ground resolution and a million square miles or more coverage per mission probably will require less intelligence exploitation time than a system which produces a round resolution of 10' and only 250,000 square miles of coverage with the same film quality. Roughly speaking, there is eight times us much work involved in exploiting the latter because some detail is carried in the photography. To cope with this increase in workload, more units of equipment in which the engineering parameters are identical are required.

SEGNET

SECRET

MINISTE: General Planning Paper for DPD/logistics

c. The other factors which I shall lump together that must be considered for high acuity systems became critical above 60 1/mm. These factors are illumination, projection distance, magnification, vibration, and contrast. For instance, the think rule for magnifiestion for scamping of first phase interpretation is for the equipment produced to reduce the inherent resolution of the input to a resolved 4 1/ms at 10" viewing distance. This is generally true for both ontical viewing and projected viewing. Optical viewing provides a most presentation but is unconfortable to the operator and is strictly a ous-man operation, while projection viewing allows several people with different becharounts to view the sens inspery with seas. In the case of the latter, present screen materials reduce the contreat so that much of the resolved detail is lost to the viswer. These problems are buing worked an currently by our Research and Development Staff to produce higher quality screens which retain the contrast differences required to see the minimum ground object mises resolved in the original material.

## 2. SPECIFIC PROSLEGE:

The planaed system inputs to FIC in the very near future will require considerable research and development in order to make them fully exploitable by human beings. Senerally speaking, within the next six menths we can expect a doubling of resolution. If our estimate of the first results of this present system are correct, we schieved an average of 50 l/mm and it is felt that we were granularity limited. This means that with the probable increase which the new film provided on the last input, an average of between 50-100 l/mm resulted. With a major lens and film change, such as is contemplated in C''', we will not only gather a transmious advance from the fine granular structure of the film that is acticipated, but also a doubling of the resolution. If the theoretical resolution is duabled this will make 150 1/mm, but because there are always some minor degrading factors such as IMC, etc., even 120 1/mm as an end product input is going to be a considerable advance and represents major equipment changes.

By 1962 we can exticipate not only a amjor advance in recolution to nearly 200 l/on resolution, but in ground object sizes of 1' - 2' resolved. I think it is readily apparent that significant progress must be made in the overall programming of collection/exploitation systems, including equipment parameters, in order to accommodate this tremendous edvance in

2

SECTET

SUBJECT: Ceneral Planning Paper for DFD/Logistics

technology. It is my understanding that between now and June 1962, if the SANOS Progress gets into the E-5 and E-6 packages, these too will require equipment which is capable of exploiting from between 190 and 200 1/sm. The tramendous work-loads involved in the advances outlined will result in an equipment program which must be embarked on in the very near future and will run in excess of three million dollars. The lend time to produce this equipment is already too short. We are unable to even plan or budget for such unplanned for advances as are due prior to the 1962 deadline, (e.g. 6'''). It is expected that equipment development and modification of existing equipment to meet these immediate needs will cost between 1 and 2 million dollars. We are currently engaged in a crash program of attempting to bring our present equipment up-to-date so that we can exploit 100 1/mm. This is an interim measure and though inadequate is the very best that can be done in the short lead time that we have laft. It is not enticipated that we will need any additional contacting through APB/Logistics for this effort.

We are going to concentrate, however, on creating a capability for 200 1/mm at the very earliest date. This may be a combination of equipment by Eastman Rodak, Itak and possibly Ferkin-Elmer for which we would expect to do our contracting through ISD/Logistics. I would assume that security and contractual considerations will make necessary continuing DPD assistance and, furthermore, there may be unplanned for funding involvements.

Our current thinking in the field of resolution transfer will probably require considerably more work at the processing site in the form of very high quality continuous projection enlargement of the original material (see CIMBAN concept and recent MX proposal for 2.5 X enlarging printer). I hope this paper enguera some of wour problems and will serve as a guide for our future accds.

> SA/R & D Photographic Intelligence Center

> > 25X1

25X1

## Distribution:

O & 1 - Addressee

1 - C/DMD/PIC

1 - Chairman/TDB

1 - OD/PIC subject file

√1 - OD/PIC chrono

1 - AS/PIC

(4 Jan 61)